



Linda Siebenhaar &lt;lsiebenhaar@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Packer Records Request

1 message

---

**Lynn Kenneth Packer** <lpacker@xmission.com>

Mon, Feb 10, 2014 at 7:00 AM

To: Linda Siebenhaar &lt;lsiebenhaar@utah.gov&gt;

Cc: John Dougall &lt;jdougall@utah.gov&gt;, Nicole Davis &lt;ndavis@utah.gov&gt;, Missy Larsen &lt;missywlarsen@gmail.com&gt;

10 Feb 2014

Ms. Siebenhaar,

After I emailed you last Thursday I had a chance to thoroughly review the record you provided and post a related story at [packerchronicle.com](http://packerchronicle.com). It was only after that review that I noticed that the "confidential information" you sent to the attorney general via separate letter was not attached.

This next Thursday, February 13, the Utah State Records Committee is scheduled to hear my appeal of the attorney general's denial of certain information redacted from records I requested that are related to the AG's vehicle policies and practices. Last Wednesday the AG's office emailed me a copy of its appeal response. The 27 Jan 14 letter you did provide me under GRAMA and the confidential information you did not provide are both essential in countering the AG's argument.

Was it an oversight that you did not include that part of your findings to the AG? If you had grounds for denial under GRAMA rules then those reasons should have been disclosed for purposes of any appeal. Based on my records-request experience it is extremely rare that an entire document is protected. The usual practice is to redact only those portions warranted by law, state the grounds for redaction and release the remainder of the record.

If I don't get that record by tomorrow I will not have sufficient time to incorporate it in my rebuttal to the AG's argument prior to the hearing.

As I stated in my earlier reply, at this time I am not yet seeking the emails related to the review.

Thanks,

Lynn Packer  
801 397 2380  
[lpacker@xmission.com](mailto:lpacker@xmission.com)  
[packerchronicle.com](http://packerchronicle.com)